ORIGINAL



30€

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION CO

2

3

4

5

1

COMMISSIONERS

KRISTIN K. MAYES

· 2005 SEP 21 P 4: 46

JEFF HATCH-MILLER, Chairman WILLIAM A. MUNDELL MARC SPITZER MIKE GLEASON

IN THE MATTER OF THE OF THE JOINT

AZ CORP COMMISSION DOCUMENT CONTROL

6 7

8

9 NOTICE OF INTENT OF VERIZON COMMUNICATIONS, INC., AND MCI INC., ON BEHALF OF ITS REGULATED 10 **SUBSIDIARIES**

11 12

13

15

14

16 17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24 25

26

27

28

DOCKET NO. T-01846B-05-0279 T-03258A-05-0279 T-03475A-05-0279 T-03289A-05-0279 T-03198A-05-0279 T-03574A-05-0279 T-02431A-05-0279 T-03197A-05-0279 T-02533A-05-0279

T-03394A-05-0279

T-03291A-05-0279

NOTICE OF FILING STATEMENT AND LATE FILED EXHIBITS

At the hearing on September 14, 2005, the Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ") asked the parties to file a statement concerning the impact of a state commission's rejection upon the Verizon/MCI merger.

The ALJ also asked Staff to file the following late-filed exhibits: (1) whether Verizon Select Services and MCI/Worldcom offers AOS and whether they are complying with the zero-minus rules; (2) a breakdown of the complaints against Verizon and MCI subsidiaries; and 3) the number of complaints/inquiries filed with the Commission concerning Verizon Wireless.

Attached hereto is Staff's statement and late-filed exhibits as requested by the ALJ. 1 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 21st day of September, 2005. 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Original and thirteen (13) copies of the foregoing were filed this 11 21st day of September, 2005 with: 12 **Docket Control** Arizona Corporation Commission 13 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007 14 Copy of the foregoing mailed this 15 21st day of September, 2005 to: 16 Elaine M. Duncan Vice President and General Counsel 17 Verizon California, Inc. 700 Van Ness Avenue 18 San Francisco, California 94102 19 Deborah R. Scott Of Counsel 20 Snell & Wilmer One Arizona Center 21 Phoenix, Arizona 85004 22 Sherry F. Bellamy Vice President and Associate General Counsel 23 Verizon Corporate Services Corp. 1515 North Courthouse Road, Suite 500 24 Arlington, Virginia 22201 25 Robert P. Slevin Associate General Counsel 26 Verizon Corporate Services Corp.

1095 Avenue of the Americas

New York, New York 10036

27

28

Room 3824

Maureen A. Scott

(602) 542-3402

Attorney, Legal Division

Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street

1	Mary L. Coyne
2	2055 L Street, N.W., 5 th Floor Washington, D.C. 20036
3	
ļ	Andrew B. Clubok Kirkland & Ellis LLP
4	655 Fifteenth Street, N.W., Suite 1200 Washington, D.C. 20005
5	Thomas F. Dixon
6	Senior Attorney
7	MCI, Inc. 707 17 th Street, Suite 4200
8	Denver, Colorado 80202
9	Thomas H. Campbell Lewis and Roca LLP
10	40 North Central Avenue Phoenix, Arizona 85004-4429
11	Richard B. Severy
12	MCI, Inc. Director, State Regulatory
13	201 Spear Street, 9 th Floor San Francisco, California 94105
14	Marsha A. Ward
15	National Director – State Regulatory Law & Public Policy
16	MCI, Inc. 6 Concourse Parkway, Suite 600
17	Atlanta, Georgia 30328
18	Michael W. Patten Roshka Heyman & Patten PLC
19	One Arizona Center 400 East Van Buren, Suite 800
20	Phoenix, Arizona 85004
21	
•	taling
22	Karyn Christine, Legal Assistant
23	
24	
25	
26	·
27	

STATEMENT REGARDING RAMIFICATIONS OF A STATE REJECTING THE MERGER

At the hearing on September 14, 2005, the ALJ asked the parties and Staff to provide a statement on the effect upon the merger of any state commission denying the joint application for merger of Verizon and MCI (collectively "the Companies").

I. Ramifications Under Merger Agreement

The Agreement and Plan of Merger dated February 14, 2005, ("Merger Agreement") between Verizon Communications Inc., ELI Acquisition, LLC and MCI, Inc. contains several provisions which appear to contemplate this contingency.

Article III (Representations and Warranties of the Company), Section 3.7 (Consents and Approvals; No Violations) of the Merger Agreement recognizes that approval by various federal and state regulatory agencies is required. See also Article IV (Representations and Warranties of Parent and Merger Sub) Section 4.4 (Consents and Approvals; No Violations) of the Agreement.

Under Article VI (Additional Agreements), Section 6.4 (Commercially Reasonable Efforts) the parties commit to use commercially reasonable efforts to obtain all requisite material approvals for the transactions contemplated by the Merger Agreement. If an objection is asserted with respect to the transaction contemplated under the Merger Agreement under any "Regulatory Law", the Companies shall use commercially reasonable efforts to resolve any such objections or challenge.

Article VII (Conditions Precedent), Section 7 of the Merger Agreement contains the conditions precedent for consummation of the merger. Section 7.1(c) of the Merger Agreement recognizes as a condition precedent regulatory approval by various federal and state agencies.

Article VIII (Termination and Amendment), Section 8(b)(i) provides for termination by either party if the merger is not consummated by February 14, 2006, subject to certain conditions. First, the consummation date of February 14, 2006, can be

extended up to 180 days "...in the event that, as of February 14, 2006, the conditions set forth in Section 7.1(c) or (g) have not been satisfied,...." Second, the ability to terminate is not available to any party whose "failure to perform any of its obligations under this Agreement primarily contributes to the failure of the Merger to be consummated by such time. Section 8.2 provides that if the Merger Agreement is terminated under Section 8.1, the obligations of the parties terminate and there shall be no liability on the part of any party (with exceptions pertaining to provisions on confidentiality, breach of contract etc.)

II. Ramifications Under Federal and State Law and Rules

Verizon and MCI filed an application for transfer of control with the FCC on March 11, 2005. The application was filed pursuant to Section 214 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and 47 C.F.R. Section 63.04 of the FCC's rules, which pertains to the construction or transfer of interstate lines used in the provision of telecommunications service. In its 1999 Streamlining Order¹, the FCC revised section 63.01 of its rules granting all carriers blanket authority under section 214 to provide domestic interstate and international services and to construct, acquire, or operate any domestic or international transmission line. This blanket authority does not extend to the transfer of lines resulting from an acquisition of corporate control or an acquisition of a carrier's assets. The application the Companies filed with the FCC requests a transfer of approximately 18 to 22 FCC licenses and authorizations controlled by MCI or its subsidiaries to Verizon. These licenses are:

Part 1 – Cable Landing Licenses

MCI, Inc. (SCL-LIC-19970421-0002, et al.)

MCI International, Inc. (SCL 90-003, et al.)

MCI Communications Corp. (SCL 92-002, et al.)

MFS Globenet, Inc. (SCL-LIC-19971014-00009)

Part 22 – Paging and Radiotelephone Service Licenses Skytel Corp. (KNKO426 et al.)

¹ In the Matters of Implementation of Section 401(b)(2)(A) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, CC Docket No. 97-11 and Petition for Forbearance of the Independent Telephone & Telecommunications Alliance, ADD File No. 98-43, Report and Order in CC Docket No. 97-11 and Second Memorandum Opinion and Order in AAD File No. 98-43, (Released June 30, 1999)("1999 Streamlining Order").

- Part 22 General Aviation Air-Ground Radio Service Licenses Skytel Corp. (KCC703, et al.)
- Part 22 931 MHz Paging Licenses Skytel Corp. (WPZG507, et al.)
- Part 24 PCS Narrowband Licenses Skytel Corp. (KNKV205 et al.)
- Part 25 Earth Station Licenses MCI WorldCom International, Inc. (E881473, et al.) MCI WorldCom Network Services, Inc. (E000011, et al.)
- Part 63 Domestic Section 214 Authorizations MCI, Inc., et al.
- Part 63 International Section 214 Authorizations
 MCI, Inc. (File No. ITC-214-19961212-00626, et al.)
 MCI Communications Corp. (ITC-90-128, et al.)
 MCI International, Inc. (ITC-89-155, et al.)
 MCI WorldCom Communications, Inc. (ITC-93-065, et al.)
 MCI WorldCom International, Inc. (ITC-214-19961003-00486, et al.)
 MCI WorldCom Network Services, Inc. (ITC-87-184, et al.)
 MFS Globenet, Inc. (ITC-98-622)
- Part 90 Private Mobile Radio Service Licenses MCI WorldCom Communications, Inc. (WPNU610, et al.) MCI WorldCom Network Services, Inc. (KA78954, et al.)
- Part 101 Point-to-Point Microwave Service Licenses MCI WorldCom Network Services, Inc. (WAX65, et al.) Intermedia Communications LLC (KCL 96, et al.)

In considering such applications for license transfer, the FCC has employed a public interest standard under Section 214(a) that involves an examination of the potential public interest harms and benefits of the proposed transactions. The application before the FCC pertaining to the transfer of the above-listed licenses is still pending.

Under state law, the Companies submitted their application pursuant to the Arizona Commission's Public Utility Holding Companies and Affiliated Interest Rules, R14-2-801 et seq. A.A.C. R14-2-803(C) establishes a minimum standard for Commission consideration of affiliate transactions but it is not the only applicable standard of review. The Commission must act in the "public interest," which is a broad inquiry in which the

Commission examines all the evidence available in determining what is in the public interest.²

Thus, while both the FCC analysis and this Commission's analysis look at the application in part under the broad public interest standard, the FCC's analysis focuses on the transfer of interstate and international licenses and authorizations which it has granted; while the Commission's focus is on the impact of the merger on the Companies' intrastate operations.

Staff believes that the ramifications of a state rejecting the Companies' application under state law would have to be addressed by the Applicants in one of several ways. First, the Companies could work to resolve the state commission's concerns, and indeed, they appear to be obligated to do so under the Merger Agreement. Second, if the concerns can not be resolved despite the parties' best efforts, the parties could decide to not proceed with the Merger Agreement. Third, the Companies would also have the option of seeking approval to divest the lines and operations to third parties in the particular state that has not approved the merger as presented by Companies. While Staff believes that the first option is preferable, the decision is ultimately up to the Companies.

² In the Matter of the Reorganization of Unisource Energy Corporation, Docket No. E-04230A-03-0933, Decision No. 67454, p. 28 (January 4, 2005).

- Q1(a): Does Verizon Select Service provide AOS services in AZ? Is Verizon Select Service in compliance with the ACC's zero-minus AOS rules?
- A1(a): Decision 63546 (4/4/01) granted Verizon Select Select a CC&N to provide AOS. In response to Staff's first set of data requests, Verizon provided the following.

"JFB 1-5: Does Verizon Select Services Inc. currently provide resold and facilities-based local exchange and resold long distance telecommunications services and alternative operator services in Arizona? Indicate the telecommunication services you currently provide in Arizona.

Verizon Response: As noted in VSSI Tariffs Section Price List No. 1 (see Verizon website referred to in JFB1-3), VSSI provides the following resold long distance services. Long Distance Voice Telephone Service, Calling Cards, Operator Services, Inmate Services, Payphone Services, Toll Free Services, Digital Private Line Services and Frame Relay Services. Verizon Select Services Inc. (VSSI) does not provide local exchange service in Arizona, other than incidental local calls provided through the use of calling cards.

Respondent: Elaine M. Duncan VP & General Counsel Verizon California 711 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 300 San Francisco, CA 94102"

In the brief period since the 9/14/05 hearing, Staff has been unable to reach the essential Verizon personnel to completely confirm Verizon's understanding, however, Staff believes that Verizon Select Services does not provide AOS services in Arizona. Operating as a certified interexchange carrier, Verizon Select Services does have authorized operator services tariffs. The following rates from Verizon Select Services, Arizona C.C. Price List No. 1, 7th Revised Page 54, Cancels 6th Revised Page 54:

Operator Assisted Service Charges

Per Call

¹ "Alternative Operator Services" or "AOS" means provision by an entity, other than a local exchange carrier or a certificated interexchange carrier with authorized operator service tariffs, of any telecommunications service initiated from an aggregator location where automated and/ or live assistance is provided to a consumer in order to arrange for billing or completion of an intrastate telephone call. Store and forward payphones are not included within this definition.

O+ (Calling Card, Calling Card Operator Assisted,
Credit Card, Credit Card Operator Assisted,
Collect, Bill-to-Third Number)
O- (Calling Card, Calling Card Operator Assisted,
Credit Card, Credit Card Operator Assisted,
Collect, Bill-to-Third Number)

\$2.30
\$3.99

Although authorized to provide AOS service, Verizon Select Services does not provide AOS services.

Additionally, the Commission's Consumer Services and Compliance Staffs do not have any record of complaints concerning Verizon Select Services AOS services;

Staff Respondent: Armando Fimbres

- Q1(b): Does MCI WorldCom provide AOS services in AZ? Is MCI WorldCom in compliance with the ACC's zero-minus AOS rules?
- A1(b): Decision 59629 (4/24/96) granted MCI WorldCom a CC&N to provide AOS, however, in response to Staff's first set of data requests, MCI provided the following response that indicates MCI WorldCom is not operating as an AOS:

"JFB 1-21: Does MCI WorldCom Communications, Inc. currently provide currently provide customer-owned pay telephone services, facilities-based local exchange telecommunications services and alternative operator services in Arizona? Indicate the telecommunication services you currently provide in Arizona.

MCI Response: No MCI WorldCom Communications, Inc. ("MCIWC") maintains an AOS tariff (MCI WorldCom Communications, Inc., Arizona Tariff No. 4).

Respondents: Tom Dixon, Attorney and Randee Klindworth
Tariff Administrator
707 – 17th Street, #4200
Denver, Colorado 80202"

Staff understands that MCI does not provide AOS services in Arizona but does maintain an AOS tariff. In the brief period since the 9/14/05 hearing Staff has been unable to reach the essential MCI personnel to completely confirm why MCI maintains its AOS tariff without providing AOS services. The following rates from MCI WorldCom Communications, Inc., Arizona Tariff No. 4, Original Sheets 20 & 21:

4.4.2 Additional Charges

The following per-call charges apply to all calls in addition to the per minute usage rates. These charges apply in all rate periods

Customer Dialed Calling Card Station	\$0.80
Operator Station	\$1.75
Person-to-Person	\$3.50

4.5 Operator Dialed Surcharge

Service Charge Per Call \$2.00

Although authorized to provide AOS service, MCI WorldCom does not provide AOS services and, therefore, is in compliance with R14-02-1006.

Additionally, the Commission's Consumer Services and Compliance Staffs do not have any record of complaints concerning MCI WorldCom AOS services

Staff Respondent: Armando Fimbres

BREAKDOWN OF COMPLAINTS AGAINST VERIZON AND MCI SUBSIDIARIES

MEMORANDUM - updated

TO:

John Bostwick

Administrative Services Officer II

Utilities Division

FROM:

Carmen Madrid

Public Utility Consumer Analyst

Utilities Division

DATE:

June 2, 2005 (updated 7/28/05)

RE:

Verizon California Inc.
Verizon Select Services Inc.
OnePoint Communications –
Colorado, L.L.C. dba Verizon Avenue
Bell Atlantic Communications, Inc. dba

Verizon Long Distance

NYNEX Long Distance Company dba

Verizon Enterprise Solutions MCImetro Access Transmission

Services, L.L.C.

MCI WorldCom Network Services Inc.

TTI National, Inc.

Teleconnect Long Distance Services and Systems Company dba Telecom*USA MCI WorldCom Communications, Inc.

Intermedia Communications, Inc.

Docket No. T-01846B-05-0279

Docket No. T-03258A-05-0279

Docket No. T-03457A-05-0279

Docket No. T-03289A-05-0279

Docket No. T-03198A-05-0279

Docket No. T-03574A-05-0279

Docket No. T-02431A-05-0279

Docket No. T-03197A-05-0279

Docket No. T-02533A-05-0279

Docket No. T-03394A-05-0279 Docket No. T-03291A-05-0279

Verizon California Inc. (Docket No. T-01846B-05-0279), Verizon Select Services Inc. (Docket No. T-03258A-05-0279), OnePoint Communications – Colorado, L.L.C. dba Verizon Avenue (Docket No. T-03457A-05-0279), Bell Atlantic Communications, Inc. dba Verizon Long Distance (Docket No. T-03289A-05-0279), NYNEX Long Distance Company dba Verizon Enterprise Solutions (Docket No. T-03198A-05-0279), MCImetro Access Transmission Services, L.L.C. (Docket No. T-03574A-05-0279), MCI WorldCom Network Services Inc. (Docket No. T-02431A-05-0279), TTI National, Inc. (Docket No. T-03197A-05-0279), Teleconnect Long Distance Services and Systems Company dba Telecom*USA (Docket No. T-02533A-05-0279), MCI WorldCom Communications, Inc. (Docket No. T-03394A-05-0279) and Intermedia Communications, Inc. (Docket No. T-03291A-05-0279), have applied for approval of an agreement and plan of merger with and into ELI Acquisition, LLC. ELI Acquisition will then be renamed MCI,LLC.

Per information received from the Corporations Section of the Arizona Corporation Commission on April 20, 2005 the following companies corporate status' are as follows:

- 1. Verizon California, Inc. is in good standing.
- 2. Verizon Select Services, Inc. is in good standing.

- 3. OnePoint Communications-Colorado, L.L.C. dba Verizon Avenue is in good standing.
- 4. Bell Atlantic Communications, Inc. dba Verizon Long Distance there is no registration under Verizon Long Distance. Bell Atlantic Communications, Inc. is in good standing.
- 5. NYNEX Long Distance Company dba Verizon Enterprises Solutions there is no registration under Verizon Enterprises Solutions. NYNEX Long Distance Company is in good standing.
- 6. MCImetro Access Transmission Services, L.L.C. is in good standing.
- 7. MCI WorldCom Network Services, Inc. is in good standing.
- 8. TTI National, Inc. is in good standing.
- 9. Teleconnect Long Distance Services and Systems Company dba Telecom*USA there is no registration under Telecom*USA. Teleconnect Long Distance Services and Systems Company is not good standing delinquent annual report due 5/29/05.
- 10. MCI WorldCom Communications, Inc. is in good standing.
- 11. Intermedia Communications has applied for a merger dated 4/11/05.

In researching the database for complaints for this company results are as listed below:

Verizon California Inc. (T-01846B-05-0279)

2005	2 complaints	0 opinions	0 inquiries
2004	1 complaints	0 opinions	4 inquiries
2003	1 complaints	0 opinions	4 inquiries
2002	1 complaints	0 opinions	0 inquiries

Verizon Select Services, Inc. (T-03258A-05-0279)

2005	2 complaints	0 opinions	0 inquiries
2004	0 complaints	0 opinions	0 inquiries
2003	0 complaints	0 opinions	1 inquiries
2002	2 complaints	0 opinions	1 inquiries

OnePoint Communications - Colorado, L.L.C. dba Verizon Avenue (T-03475A-05-0279)

2005	3 complaints	0 opinions	3 inquiries
2004	5 complaints	0 opinions	8 inquiries
2003	3 complaints	0 opinions	7 inquiries
2002	6 complaints	0 opinions	7 inquiries

Bell Atlantic Communications, Inc. dba Verizon Long Distance (T-03289A-05-0279)

2005	0 complaints	0 opinions	0 inquiries
2004	0 complaints	0 opinions	0 inquiries
2003	0 complaints	0 opinions	0 inquiries
2002	0 complaints	0 opinions	0 inquiries

NYNEX Long Distance Company dba Verizon Enterprise Solutions (T-03198A-05-0279)

2005	0 complaints	0 opinions	0 inquiries
2004	0 complaints	0 opinions	0 inquiries
2003	0 complaints	0 opinions	0 inquiries
2002	0 complaints	0 opinions	0 inquiries

MCImetro Access Transmission Services, L.L.C. (T-03574A-05-0279)

2005	0 complaints	0 opinions	0 inquiries
2004	0 complaints	0 opinions	0 inquiries
2003	0 complaints	0 opinions	0 inquiries
2002	0 complaints	0 opinions	0 inquiries

MCI WorldCom Network Services, Inc. (T-02431A-05-0279)

2005	0 complaints	0 opinions	0 inquiries
2004	0 complaints	0 opinions	1 inquiries
2003	0 complaints	0 opinions	5 inquiries
2002	3 complaints	0 opinions	2 inquiries

TTI National, Inc. (T-03197A-05-0279)

2005	0 complaints	0 opinions	0 inquiries
2004	1 complaints	0 opinions	0 inquiries
2003	0 complaints	0 opinions	0 inquiries
2002	0 complaints	0 opinions	0 inquiries

<u>Teleconnect Long Distance Services and Systems Company dba Telecom*USA</u> (T-02533A-05-0279)

2005	1 complaints	0 opinions	0 inquiries
2004	6 complaints	0 opinions	1 inquiries
2003	2 complaints	0 opinions	1 inquiries
2002	0 complaints	0 opinions	0 inquiries

MCI WorldCom Communications, Inc. (T-03394A-05-0279)

2005	63 complaints.	1 opinions	4 inquiries
2004	152 complaints	3 opinions	37 inquiries
2003	146 complaints	5 opinions	99 inquiries
2002	178 complaints	1 opinions	190 inquiries

Intermedia Communications, Inc. (T-03291A-05-0279)

2005	0 complaints	0 opinions	0 inquiries
2004	0 complaints	0 opinions	0 inquiries
2003	0 complaints	0 opinions	0 inquiries
2002	0 complaints	0 opinions	0 inquiries

- Q2: What are the number of inquiries and complaints received by Consumer Services during the last year against Verizon Wireless
- A2: Consumer Services began tracking wireless complaints on 1/1/05. Consumer Services has a record of two logged inquiries and complaints for Verizon Wireless since 1/1/05.

Additionally, attached are comprehensive reports from Consumer Services regarding the inquiries and complaints for Verizon, MCI, AT&T and Qwest.

MEMORANDUM

TO:

Elijah Abinah

Assistant Director Utilities Division

FROM:

Carmen Madrid

Public Utility Consumer Analyst

Utilities Division

DATE:

September 16, 2005

RE:

Qwest Corporation

Docket No. T-01051B

Qwest Corporation (T-01051B) has a certificate of convenience and necessity to provide telecommunication services in Arizona.

Per information received from the Corporations Section of the Arizona Corporation Commission on September 16, 2005 Qwest Corporation is in good standings

In researching the database for complaints for this company results are as listed below:

Qwest Corporation

2005	590 complaints	31 opinions	227 inquiries
2004	718 complaints	180 opinions	561 inquiries
2003	608 complaints	60 opinions	912 inquiries
2002	1310 complaints	221 opinions	1779 inquiries

MEMORANDUM

TO:

Elijah Abinah

Assistant Director Utilities Division

FROM:

Carmen Madrid

Public Utility Consumer Analyst

Utilities Division

DATE:

September 20, 2005

RE:

Qwest Corporation Docket No. T-01051B

Qwest Corporation (T-01051B) has a certificate of convenience and necessity to provide telecommunication services in Arizona.

Per information received from the Corporations Section of the Arizona Corporation Commission on September 16, 2005 Qwest Corporation is in good standings

In researching the database for complaints that involves wireless services for this company the results are as listed below:

Qwest Corporation (wireless)

2005	5 complaints	2 opinions	66 inquiries
2004	6 complaints	125 opinions	122 inquiries
2003	6 complaints	8 opinions	98 inquiries
2002	4 complaints	30 opinions	322 inquiries

This information was gathered for complaint codes:

19E – Other – jurisdictional authority

19Z - Other - other

20D - Telecom issues - Cellular

MEMORANDUM

TO:

Elijah Abinah

Assistant Director Utilities Division

FROM:

Carmen Madrid

Public Utility Consumer Analyst

Utilities Division

DATE:

September 21, 2005

RE:

AT&T Communications of the Mountain States, Inc.

Docket No. T-02428A

AT&T Communications of the Mountain States, Inc. (T-02428A) has a certificate of convenience and necessity to provide telecommunication services in Arizona.

Per information received from the Corporations Section of the Arizona Corporation Commission on September 21, 2005 AT&T Communications of the Mountain States, Inc. is in good standings

In researching the database for complaints for this company results are as listed below:

AT&T Communications of the Mountain States, Inc

2005	236 complaints	4 opinions	47 inquiries
2004	501 complaints	28 opinions	275 inquiries
2003	207 complaints	22 opinions	206 inquiries
2002	208 complaints	4 opinions	151 inquiries